

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,871	06/27/2003	John M. de Larios	LAM2P422 7473	
25920 MARTINE PE	7590 01/16/2003 NILLA & GENCAREL	EXAMINER		
710 LAKEWAY DRIVE SUITE 200 SUNNYVALE, CA 94085			STINSON, FRANKIE L	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/608,871	DE LARIOS		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		FRANKIE L. STINSON	1746		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appo or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is a savailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	•				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 March 2007.				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) 27 his action is non-final.				
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims	•			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-23,25-32 and 34-37 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-23, 25-32 and 34-37 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.			
Application Papers					
9) [10) [The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the displacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	epted or b) objected to by the E Irawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment	t(s)	•			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite		

Application/Control Number: 10/608,871

Art Unit: 1792

Page 2

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-23, 25-32 and 34-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsuda et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 3,308,361) in view of either Hayasaki et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 7,018,481) or Boyers et al. (U. S. Pat. No. 6,982,006). Re claims 1, 13, 20 and 30, for example, note that Matsuda is cited disclosing a method/apparatus for removing a layer from a substrate surface, comprising:

providing at least one encapsulating transport (bubbles, col. 2, lines 44-53), applying the at least one encapsulating transport to the layer through an applicator having a diameter less that the diameter of the substrate, and

wherein the encapsulating transport ruptures (col. 5, lines 41-45) on contact to facilitate removal of the layer from the substrate surface, that differs from the claims only in the recitation of the encapsulating transport containing at least some reactive gas, the layer being a chemically reactive layer, upon rupture, the release of the reactive gas onto the chemically reactive layer and the nozzle moving linearly over the diameter of the substrate. The patents to Boyers (col. 2, lines 36-42) and Hayasaki (col. 3, lines 51-60) each disclose the arrangement of removing a chemically reactive layer, with there also being employed ozone (see abstract in Boyers and col. 22, lines 4-15 in Hayasaki) and further, the nozzle moving linearly (see fig. 1 for example in Hayasaki and claim 27 in Boyers). It therefore would have been obvious to modify the

Art Unit: 1792

arrangement of Matsuda, to be as taught by either Boyers or Hayasaki, for the purpose of enhancing the removal process and since Matsuda (col. 5, line 66 thru col. 6, line 5) suggest that other encapsulating transports may be used. Re claims 3-10, 12, 14-19, 21-23, 25-32 and 34-37, the combination of Matsuda and either Boyers or Hayasaki disclose the reducing agent, the mixing/agitating arrangement, the foam/bubble (also see Hayasaki col. 22, lines 53-67). Re claims 11, Boyers discloses the sparger (col. 9, lines 59-67). Also note the use of a foam encapsulating material versus a bubble encapsulating material is of little patentable distinction in view of the similar structure.

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to the pending claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1792

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRANKIE L. STINSON whose telephone number is (571) 272-1308. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 5:30 am to 2:00 pm and some Saturdays from approximately 5:30 am to 11:30 am.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr, can be reached on (571) 272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

fls

FRANKIE L. STINSON
Primary Examiner
GROUP ART UNIT 1792